



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/537,284

06/01/2005

Anthony F Scian

13210-202

1861

1059

7590

09/28/2010

BERESKIN AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l.

40 KING STREET WEST

BOX 401

TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2

CANADA

EXAMINER

DONABED, NINOS J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2444

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/28/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/537,284	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCIAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NINOS DONABED	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

**All Participants:**

(1) NINOS DONABED.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** RCE Amendment

(3) Ian McMillian 43390.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2010

**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Final rejection issued on 10/28/2009*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444  
 /N. D./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to further prosecution of the application along, Examiner called Applicant's representative and proposed rolling up two of the dependent claims into the independent claims and make all the independent claims parallel. Applicant's representative discussed the changes with applicant and the Applicant stated he would not be willing to move up any claims at this time..